

THE SUM-PRODUCT ESTIMATE FOR LARGE SUBSETS OF PRIME FIELDS

M. Z. GARAEOV

Instituto de Matemáticas

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

Campus Morelia, Apartado Postal 61-3 (Xangari)

C.P. 58089, Morelia, Michoacán, México

`garaev@matmor.unam.mx`

Abstract

Let $\mathbb{F}_p$ be the field of a prime order p . It is known that for any integer $N \in [1, p]$ one can construct a subset $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ with $|A| = N$ such that

$$\max\{|A + A|, |AA|\} \ll p^{1/2}|A|^{1/2}.$$

In the present paper we prove that if $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ with $|A| > p^{2/3}$, then

$$\max\{|A + A|, |AA|\} \gg p^{1/2}|A|^{1/2}.$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B75, 11T23

Key words: sum-product estimates, prime field, number of solutions

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}_p$ be the field of residue classes modulo a prime number p and let $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$. Consider the sum set

$$A + A = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in A\}$$

and the product set

$$AA = \{ab : a \in A, b \in A\}.$$

From the work of Bourgain, Katz, Tao [2] and Bourgain, Glibichuk, Konyagin [1] it is known that if $|A| < p^{1-\delta}$, where $\delta > 0$, then one has the sum-product estimate

$$\max\{|A + A|, |AA|\} \gg |A|^{1+\varepsilon} \quad (1)$$

for some $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\delta) > 0$. This result and its versions have found many important applications in various areas of mathematics.

In the corresponding problem for integers (i.e., if the field $\mathbb{F}_p$ is replaced by the set of integers) the conjecture of Erdős and Szemerédi [4] is that $\max\{|A + A|, |AA|\} \gg |A|^{2-\varepsilon}$ for any given $\varepsilon > 0$. At present the best known bound in the integer problem is $\max\{|A + A|, |AA|\} \gg |A|^{14/11}(\log |A|)^{-3/11}$ due to Solymosi [9].

Explicit versions of (1) have been obtained in [5]–[8]. For subsets with relatively small cardinalities (say, $|A| < p^{13/25}$), in [5] we proved that

$$\max\{|A + A|, |AA|\} \gg |A|^{15/14}(\log |A|)^{O(1)}$$

which was subsequently improved in [7] to

$$\max\{|A + A|, |AA|\} \gg |A|^{14/13}(\log |A|)^{O(1)}.$$

In [5] we have also considered the case of subsets with larger cardinalities, which had been previously studied in [6]. We have shown, for example, that

$$\max\{|A + A|, |AA|\} \gg \min\left\{|A|^{2/3}p^{1/3}, |A|^{5/3}p^{-1/3}\right\}(\log |A|)^{O(1)}.$$

One may conjecture that the estimate

$$\max\{|A + A|, |AA|\} \gg \min\{|A|^{2-\varepsilon}, |A|^{1/2}p^{1/2-\varepsilon}\}$$

holds for all subsets $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$. The motivation for the quantity $|A|^{1/2}p^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ is clear from the construction in [3] which can be described as follows. Let g be a generator of $\mathbb{F}_p^*$. By the pigeon-hole principle, for any $N \in [1, p]$ and for any integer $M \approx p^{1/2}N^{1/2}$ (which we associate with $M \pmod{p}$), there exists L such that

$$|\{g^x : 1 \leq x \leq M\} \cap \{L + 1, L + 2, \dots, L + M\}| \gg M^2/p \gg N.$$

Obviously, any subset $A \subset \{g^x : 1 \leq x \leq M\} \cap \{L+1, L+2, \dots, L+M\}$ with $|A| \approx N$ satisfies $\max\{|A+A|, |AA|\} \ll p^{1/2}|A|^{1/2}$.

Thus, it follows that for any integer $N \in [1, p]$ there exists a subset $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ with $|A| = N$ such that

$$\max\{|A+A|, |AA|\} \ll p^{1/2}|A|^{1/2}.$$

In the present paper we prove the following statement.

Theorem 1. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$. Then*

$$|A+A||AA| \gg \min\left\{p|A|, \frac{|A|^4}{p}\right\}.$$

In view of the foregoing discussion, in the range $|A| > p^{2/3}$ our result implies the optimal in the general setting bound

$$\max\{|A+A|, |AA|\} \gg p^{1/2}|A|^{1/2}.$$

The following generalization of Theorem 1 improves the corresponding result from [11], where an analogy of the sum-product estimate from [6] has been obtained for subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_m$, the ring of residue classes modulo m .

Theorem 2. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_m$. Then*

$$|A+A||AA| \gg \min\left\{m|A|, \frac{|A|^4}{m} \left(\sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d < m}} d^{1/2}\right)^{-2}\right\}.$$

We remark that if $m = p^2$, $A = \{px : x \in \mathbb{Z}_m\}$, where p is a prime number, then $|A| = |A+A| = m^{1/2}$, $|AA| = 1$ and the left hand side of the estimate of Theorem 2 is of the same order of magnitude as the right hand side.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

We can assume that $\{0\} \not\subset A$. Consider the equation

$$xa_1^{-1} + a_2 = y, \quad (x, a_1, a_2, y) \in (AA) \times A \times A \times (A+A). \quad (2)$$

For any triple $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in A \times A \times A$ the vector

$$(a_1 a_3, a_1, a_2, a_3 + a_2) \in (AA) \times A \times A \times (A + A)$$

is a solution of (2). For different triples $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in A \times A \times A$ correspond different solutions $(a_1 a_3, a_1, a_2, a_3 + a_2)$. Thus, the number J of solutions of the equation (2) satisfies $J \geq |A|^3$. Expressing J via additive characters and following the standard procedure, we obtain

$$|A|^3 \leq J = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \sum_{x \in AA} \sum_{a_1 \in A} \sum_{a_2 \in A} \sum_{y \in A+A} e_p(n(xa_1^{-1} + a_2 - y)) \leq \frac{|AA||A|^2|A+A|}{p} + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \left| \sum_{x \in AA} \sum_{a_1 \in A} e_p(nxa_1^{-1}) \right| \left| \sum_{a_2 \in A} \sum_{y \in A+A} e_p(n(a_2 - y)) \right|.$$

Since

$$\max_{(n,p)=1} \left| \sum_{x \in AA} \sum_{a_1 \in A} e_p(nxa_1^{-1}) \right| \leq \sqrt{p|AA||A|},$$

(see, for example, [10, Chapter VI]), we have

$$|A|^3 \leq \frac{|AA||A|^2|A+A|}{p} + \frac{\sqrt{p|AA||A|}}{p} \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \left| \sum_{a_2 \in A} e_p(na_2) \right| \left| \sum_{y \in A+A} e_p(ny) \right|.$$

Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the sum over n , we get

$$|A|^3 \leq \frac{|AA||A|^2|A+A|}{p} + \sqrt{p|AA||A|} \sqrt{|A||A+A|}$$

and the result follows.

3 Proof of Theorem 2

Let us first reduce the problem to the case $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_m^*$. Let

$$d_0 = \min\{(a, m) : a \in A\}.$$

Then,

$$|AA| \geq |d_0 A| \geq \frac{|A|}{d_0}.$$

If

$$|A|^2 \leq \frac{4m}{d_0} \left(\sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d < m}} d^{1/2} \right)^2,$$

then

$$\frac{|A|^4}{m} \left(\sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d < m}} d^{1/2} \right)^{-2} \leq \frac{4|A|^2}{d_0} \leq 4|A||AA| \leq 4|A + A||AA|$$

and the statement becomes trivial in this case. Thus, we can assume that

$$|A|^2 > \frac{4m}{d_0} \left(\sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d < m}} d^{1/2} \right)^2.$$

But then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \{a \in A : (a, m) > 1\} &= \{a \in A : (a, m) \geq \max\{d_0, 2\}\} \leq \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d \geq \max\{d_0, 2\}}} \frac{m}{d} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d \leq \min\{m/d_0, m/2\}}} d \leq \left(\frac{m}{d_0} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d < m}} d^{1/2} < \frac{|A|}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $|A \cap \mathbb{Z}_m^*| > |A|/2$. Denoting $A \cap \mathbb{Z}_m^*$ again by A we deduce that it suffices to deal with the case $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_m^*$.

We can also assume that $|A|^3 > 2|AA||A|^2|A + A|/m$, since otherwise we are done. Following the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain

$$|A|^3 \leq \frac{2}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \left| \sum_{x \in AA} \sum_{a_1 \in A} e_m(nxa_1^{-1}) \right| \left| \sum_{a_2 \in A} \sum_{y \in A+A} e_m(n(a_2 - y)) \right|.$$

For a given divisor $d|m$ we collect together those n for which $(n, m) = d$. Thus, denoting n/d by n again, we get

$$|A|^3 \leq \frac{2}{m} \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d < m}} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ (n, m/d)=1}}^{m/d} \left| \sum_{x \in AA} \sum_{a_1 \in A} e_{m/d}(nxa_1^{-1}) \right| \left| \sum_{a_2 \in A} \sum_{y \in A+A} e_{m/d}(n(a_2 - y)) \right|.$$

Since $(n, m/d) = 1$, we have

$$\left(\left| \sum_{x \in AA} \sum_{y \in A} e_{m/d}(nxa_1^{-1}) \right| \right)^2 \leq |AA| \sum_{x=0}^{m-1} \left| \sum_{a_1 \in A} e_{m/d}(xa_1^{-1}) \right|^2 \leq dm|AA||A|.$$

Thus,

$$|A|^3 \leq \frac{2|A|^{1/2}|AA|^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}} \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d < m}} d^{1/2} \sum_{n=1}^{m/d} \left| \sum_{a_2 \in A} e_{m/d}(na_2) \right| \left| \sum_{y \in A+A} e_{m/d}(ny) \right|.$$

Using the inequalities

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m/d} \left| \sum_{a_2 \in A} e_{m/d}(na_2) \right|^2 \leq m|A|, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{m/d} \left| \sum_{y \in A+A} e_{m/d}(ny) \right|^2 \leq m|A+A|,$$

we deduce that

$$|A|^3 \leq 2|A|^{1/2}|AA|^{1/2}m^{1/2}|A|^{1/2}|A+A|^{1/2} \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d < m}} d^{1/2}.$$

This proves Theorem 2.

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to S. V. Konyagin for very useful remarks. This work was supported by the Project PAPIIT IN 100307 from UNAM.

References

- [1] J. Bourgain, A. A. Glibichuk and S. V. Konyagin, *Estimates for the number of sums and products and for exponential sums in fields of prime order*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **73** (2006), 380–398.
- [2] J. Bourgain, N. Katz and T. Tao, *A sum-product estimate in finite fields and their applications*, Geom. Func. Anal. **14** (2004), 27–57.
- [3] M.-C. Chang, *Some problems in combinatorial number theory*, Preprint.

- [4] P. Erdős and E. Szemerédi, *On sums and products of integers. Studies in pure mathematics*, 213–218, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983.
- [5] M. Z. Garaev, *An explicit sum-product estimate in F_p* , Intern. Math. Res. Notices, to appear.
- [6] D. Hart, A. Iosevich and J. Solymosi, *Sum product estimates in finite fields via Kloosterman sums*, Intern. Math. Res. Notices, to appear.
- [7] N. H. Katz and Ch.-Y. Sun, *A slight improvement to Garaev’s sum product estimate*, Preprint.
- [8] N. H. Katz and Ch.-Y. Sun, *Garaev’s inequality in fields not of prime order*, Preprint.
- [9] J. Solymosi, *On the number of sums and products*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **37** (2005), 491–494.
- [10] I. M. Vinogradov, “An introduction to the theory of numbers”, Pergamon Press, London & New York, 1955.
- [11] V. Vu, *Sum-product estimates via directed expanders*, arXiv:0705.0715v1 [math.CO].